

**TABLE 9.1****Countries with Large Gaps between Rule of Law and Electoral Rights Indexes****a. High Rule of Law Relative to Electoral Rights in 1982**

Country	Rule of Law Index	Electoral Rights Index
Burkina Faso	0.50	0.00
Chile	0.83	0.17
Ethiopia	0.50	0.00
Guinea	0.50	0.00
Hong Kong	1.00	0.50
Hungary <sup>a</sup>	0.83	0.33
Myanmar (Burma)	0.50	0.00
Niger	0.67	0.00
Poland <sup>a</sup>	0.67	0.17
Singapore	1.00	0.50
Somalia	0.50	0.00
Taiwan	1.00	0.33

**b. High Rule of Law Relative to Electoral Rights in 1998**

Bahrain	0.83	0.00
Cameroon	0.50	0.00
China	0.83	0.00
Egypt	0.67	0.17
Gambia	0.83	0.00
Hong Kong	0.83	0.33
Iran	0.83	0.17
Kuwait	0.83	0.33
Malaysia	0.83	0.33
Morocco	1.00	0.33
Myanmar (Burma)	0.50	0.00
Oman	0.83	0.17
Saudi Arabia	0.83	0.00
Singapore	1.00	0.33
Syria	0.83	0.00
Tanzania	0.83	0.33
Tunisia	0.83	0.17
United Arab Emirates	0.67	0.17
Yugoslavia	0.83	0.17

*(Continued)*

**TABLE 9.1****(Continued)****c. Low Rule of Law Relative to Electoral Rights in 1982**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Rule of law index</b>	<b>Electoral rights index</b>
Bolivia	0.17	0.83
Colombia	0.33	0.83
Cyprus <sup>a</sup>	0.33	1.00
Dominican Republic	0.50	1.00
Greece	0.50	1.00
Honduras	0.17	0.83
South Africa	0.50	1.00
Uruguay	0.50	1.00

a. Data are unavailable for 1982 and are shown for 1985.

*Note:* The indexes run from 0 to 1 with higher numbers indicating greater rule of law or greater electoral rights. The table shows observations for which the magnitude of the gap between the rule of law and electoral rights indexes was at least 0.5.

*Source:* Barro (2000).